



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,549	04/02/2001	Yoshimitsu Nakashima	70840-55652	9425
21874	7590	03/10/2003	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 9169 BOSTON, MA 02209			HARRINGTON, ALICIA M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2873		
DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CIA

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/824,549	NAKASHIMA, YOSHIMITSU	
	Examiner Alicia M Harrington	Art Unit 2873	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 October 2002 and 24 February 2003.
 - 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
- Disposition of Claims**
- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 - 5) Claim(s) 6 is/are allowed.
 - 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-14 is/are rejected.
 - 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 - 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 08 October 2002 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants admitted prior art (figure 5A-5B pages 2-7) in view of Lin et al US 6, 396,089).

Regarding claims 1,3,4,9,10 applicant's admitted prior art discloses a solid state imaging device comprising a semiconductor (11), light shield (14); a light reception section (12); passivation section (15) film overlying the light shield section, light reception sections and aperture. However, applicant admitted prior art fails to discloses a planar /flat top surface. Although, it is well known in the art, as taught by Lin.

In the same field of endeavor, Lin discloses the planarization of semiconductor device where the passivation layer with SOG film that (304,306,308,310) is planarized (col. 3, lines 22-65). The passivation films have several layers that are placed over light reception area. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify, applicant's admitted prior art, as taught by Lin, to provide superior insulating property.

Regarding claim 2, applicant discloses the passivation film is made of silicon nitride based film (see pages 2-4).

Regarding claims 5 and 11, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a solid state imaging device comprising a semiconductor (11), light shield (14); a light reception section (12); passivation section (15) film overlying the light shield section, light reception sections and aperture. However, applicant admitted prior art fails to disclose a planar /flat top surface and chemical machine polishing as claimed. Although, it is well known in the art, as taught by Lin.

In the same field of endeavor, Lin discloses the planarization of semiconductor device where the passivation layer with SOG film that (304,306,308,310) is planarized (col. 3, lines 22-65). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify, applicant's admitted prior art, as taught Lin et al. to provide a planar surface which enhances image quality and provides protection for the detector.

In addition, the application and Lin discloses applying the thin film forming the passivation section by using a CVD technique or the like. Although, applicant and Lin fail to produce a planarized layer using chemical mechanical polishing, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify applicant and park, to include this process since it is a notoriously well known semiconductor layering process (the Examiner takes official notice to that fact).

Regarding claims 7 and 12, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a solid state imaging device comprising a semiconductor (11), light shield (14); a light reception section (12); passivation section (15) film overlying the light shield section, light reception sections and aperture where the passivation provides moisture and chemical resistance (see page 3). However, applicant admitted prior art fails to disclose a planar /flat top surface, an insulation layer and chemical machine polishing as claimed. Although, it is well known in the art, as taught by Lin.

In the same field of endeavor, Lin discloses the planarization of semiconductor device where the passivation layer with SOG film that (304,306,308,310) is planarized (col. 3, lines 22-65). The passivation films have several layers that are placed over light reception area. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify, applicant's admitted prior art, as taught by Lin, to provide superior insulating property.

In addition, the application and Lin discloses applying the thin film forming the passivation section by using a CVD technique or the like. Although, applicant and Lin fail to produce a planarized layer using chemical mechanical polishing, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify applicant and park, to include this process since it is a notoriously well known semiconductor layering process (the Examiner takes official notice to that fact).

Regarding claim 8, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a solid state imaging device comprising a semiconductor (11), light shield (14); a light reception section (12); passivation section (15) film overlying the light shield section, light reception sections and aperture. However, applicant admitted prior art fails to discloses a planar /flat top surface, chemical machine polishing and insulation section. Although, it is well known in the art, as taught by Lin.

In the same field of endeavor, Lin discloses a method for manufacturing a semiconductor image sensor where the passivation film is planarized and where in the method comprises applying an SOG film and a forming another film over the SOG for forming the passivation section (col. 3, lines 22-65) to produce a substantial planarized surface. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Art Unit: 2873

applicant's admitted prior art, as taught by Lin, since it would provide a planarized passivation layer which contributes to protection of the circuit and performance.

Regarding claim 13, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a solid state imaging device comprising a semiconductor (11), light shield (14); a light reception section (12); passivation section (15) film overlying the light shield section, light reception sections and aperture where the passivation provides moisture and chemical resistance (see page 3). However, applicant admitted prior art fails to discloses a planar /flat top surface. Although, it is well known in the art, as taught by Lin.

In the same field of endeavor, Lin discloses the planarization of semiconductor device where the passivation layer with SOG film that (304,306,308,310) is planarized (col 3, lines 22-65) Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify, applicant's admitted prior art, as taught by Lin et al. to provide a planar surface which enhances image quality and provides protection for the detector.

Regarding claim 14, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a solid state imaging device comprising a semiconductor (11), light shield (14); a light reception section (12); passivation section (15) film overlying the light shield section, light reception sections and aperture. However, applicant admitted prior art fails to discloses a planar /flat top surface. Although, it is well known in the art, as taught by Lin.

In the same field of endeavor, Lin discloses the planarization of semiconductor device where the passivation layer with SOG film that (304,306,308,310) is planarized (col 3, lines 22-65) Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify, applicant's admitted prior art, as taught by Lin et al. to provide a planar surface which enhances image quality and provides protection for the detector.

In addition, the application and Lin discloses applying the thin film forming the passivation section by using a CVD technique or the like. Although, applicant and Lin fail to produce a planarized layer using chemical mechanical polishing, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify applicant and park, to include this process since it is a notoriously well known semiconductor layering process (the Examiner takes official notice to that fact).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claim 6, prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the dependent claims, in such manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in independent claims, which include a method for producing a solid state imaging device where flattening of the passivation section is performed under the condition that a selective ration of 1:1 implemented as claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7,8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2873

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fan et al (US 6,274,917) discloses a high efficiency color filter process for a semiconductor array-imaging device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M Harrington whose telephone number is 703 308 9295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703 308 4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7724 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Alicia M Harrington
Examiner
Art Unit 2873

AMH

March 6, 2003


RICKY MACK
PRIMARY EXAMINER